

## Comment

Consultee	Richard Goldfinch (1338697)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Richard Goldfinch (1338697)
Comment ID	55
Response Date	22/07/23 16:50
Consultation Point	7 Place-based Strategy for Teddington & Hampton Wick ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

### Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

**Legally Compliant**

**Sound**

**Complies with the Duty to Co-operate**

**Reason Consider Unsound**

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

### Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Regarding " There is an identified opportunity for mid-rise buildings in the area along the railway line north of Teddington Station, across the junction of Station Road/High Street in accordance with Policy 45 Tall and Mid-Rise Building Zones." I strongly object to this statement.

This would destroy the character of the area especially if used for housing. The replacement of the business park, which provides employment, with housing is counter to other statements in the plan for Teddington, especially the Telephone exchange re-development.

You only need to look at other parts of London redeveloped with high-rise housing eg Vauxhall, Feltham etc to see the what a disaster this type of development is. It provides a vision of a Blade-runner style dystopian future which I think everyone would wish to avoid happening elsewhere.

I would also point out that there is no detail on this part of plan, seemingly hidden within the document, unlike possible development of other sites within Teddington such as the Telephone exchange etc.

### **Modification(s) consider necessary**

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, when considering any legal compliance or soundness matter you have identified in the question above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the suggested change. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Keep development in Teddington low-rise and don't replace building currently providing employment with housing.

### **Participation at Examination**

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.