

Comment

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Consultee | howard dix (1338385) |
| Email Address | [REDACTED] |
| Address | |
| Event Name | Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023 |
| Comment by | howard dix (1338385) |
| Comment ID | 10 |
| Response Date | 12/07/23 10:38 |
| Consultation Point | 7 Place-based Strategy for Teddington & Hampton Wick (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables

Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)

Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)

Teddington Station Road, p.48 includes:

'There is an identified opportunity for mid-rise buildings in the area along the railway line north of Teddington Station, across the junction of Station Road/High Street in accordance with Policy 45 Tall and Mid-Rise Building Zones.'

The plan doesn't state how the 'identified opportunity' has come about, there is a lack of transparency around this. The existing low rise structures (garden centre and business park units blend well with the Station Road residential area.

The area is unsuitable for tall/mid-rise buildings (5/6 storey) and would be detrimental to the high street and Station Road residents. Although the development at the railway bridge (Informer House) has been allowed this should not in anyway set a precedent to introduce buildings of similar height and repeat past mistakes in allowing such buildings as the Travel Lodge and Elmfield House to be built. Any proposals for the area should be judged on their own merits and should be decoupled from any strategy about tall/mid-rise development.

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant

Sound

Complies with the Duty to Co-operate

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

- No, I do not wish to participate In hearing session(s)

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.