

## Comment

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Consultee          | Alison Campbell (1338810)   |
| Email Address      | [REDACTED]  |
| Address            |   |
| Event Name         | Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023                                 |
| Comment by         | Alison Campbell (1338810)   |
| Comment ID         | 63  |
| Response Date      | 24/07/23 14:03  |
| Consultation Point | 7 Place-based Strategy for Teddington & Hampton Wick ( <a href="#">View</a> ) |
| Status             | Submitted   |
| Submission Type    | Web   |
| Version            | 0.1   |

### Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

#### Legally Compliant

#### Sound

#### Complies with the Duty to Co-operate

#### Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

#### Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Concerned at the potential loss of the industrial estate on Station Rd. It creates very little extra traffic and is one of the few areas for businesses to locate.

#### Modification(s) consider necessary

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, when considering any legal compliance or soundness matter you have identified in the question above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the suggested change. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Maintain the industrial estate.

### **Participation at Examination**

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.

## Comment

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Consultee          | Alison Campbell (1338810)                     |
| Email Address      | [REDACTED]                                    |
| Address            |   |
| Event Name         | Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023 |
| Comment by         | Alison Campbell (1338810)                     |
| Comment ID         | 64  |
| Response Date      | 24/07/23 14:09                                |
| Consultation Point | 28 Appendices ( <a href="#">View</a> )        |
| Status             | Submitted                                     |
| Submission Type    | Web   |
| Version            | 0.1   |

### Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

#### Legally Compliant

#### Sound

#### Complies with the Duty to Co-operate

#### Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

- . Justified
- . Effective

#### Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Teddington area - high buildings. Concerned with 'mid height' buildings reaching 5/6 floors high. The occasional building of this height on Park Rd or Station Rd may not deaf the surrounding pavements

and buildings but a long row or several of these building would be overbearing and unpleasant. The flats around the Landmark centre are 3 occasionally 4 floors which seems less over bearing.

### **Participation at Examination**

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.